

**Supplementary file 5.** Assessment of User Involvement in Decision-Making for the 8-Item Scale According to the Four Answer Modalities

		Frequency (%)	
		Case 1	Case 2
1. Problem(s) listened carefully	<i>Never</i>	0	0
	<i>Sometimes</i>	4 (18.2)	0
	<i>Often</i>	4 (18.2)	7 (29.2)
	<i>Always</i>	14 (63.6)	12 (70.8)
		<i>n</i> =22	<i>n</i> =24
2. Several options presented to deal with problem(s)	<i>Never</i>	4 (18.2)	1 (4.3)
	<i>Sometimes</i>	4 (18.2)	3 (13.0)
	<i>Often</i>	6 (27.3)	9 (39.1)
	<i>Always</i>	8 (36.3)	10 (43.5)
		<i>n</i> =22	<i>n</i> =23
3. Different types of information used to present options	<i>Never</i>	6 (27.3)	10 (45.4)
	<i>Sometimes</i>	4 (18.2)	6 (27.3)
	<i>Often</i>	8 (36.4)	2 (9.1)
	<i>Always</i>	4 (18.2)	4 (18.2)
		<i>n</i> =22	<i>n</i> =22
4. Advantages and disadvantages of different options discussed	<i>Never</i>	2 (9.1)	4 (17.4)
	<i>Sometimes</i>	3 (13.6)	2 (8.7)
	<i>Often</i>	8 (36.4)	4 (17.4)
	<i>Always</i>	9 (40.9)	13 (56.5)
		<i>n</i> =22	<i>n</i> =23
5. Users' ideas or expectations explored	<i>Never</i>	1 (4.5)	2 (8.7)
	<i>Sometimes</i>	4 (18.2)	2 (8.7)
	<i>Often</i>	10 (45.5)	4 (17.4)
	<i>Always</i>	7 (31.8)	15 (65.2)
		<i>n</i> =22	<i>n</i> =23
6. Users' concerns or worries explored	<i>Never</i>	2 (9.1)	2 (9.5)
	<i>Sometimes</i>	5 (22.7)	1 (4.8)
	<i>Often</i>	7 (31.8)	8 (38.1)
	<i>Always</i>	8 (36.4)	10 (47.6)
		<i>n</i> =22	<i>n</i> =21

7. All information explained to ensure understanding	<i>Never</i>	2 (9.1)	0
	<i>Sometimes</i>	5 (22.7)	3 (12.5)
	<i>Often</i>	4 (18.2)	7 (29.2)
	<i>Always</i>	11 (50.0)	14 (58.3)
		<i>n=22</i>	<i>n=24</i>
8. Time and opportunities given to ask questions	<i>Never</i>	5 (22.7)	2 (8.3)
	<i>Sometimes</i>	4 (18.2)	5 (20.8)
	<i>Often</i>	5 (22.7)	5 (20.8)
	<i>Always</i>	8 (36.4)	12 (50.0)
		<i>n=22</i>	<i>n=24</i>

Assessment of user involvement in decision-making for the 8 items of the dyadic OPTION scale, measured on a 4-point Likert scale where 1=never, 2=sometimes, 3=often, 4=always