Article title: Effects of DementiaNet's Community Care Network Approach on Admission Rates and Healthcare Costs: A Longitudinal Cohort Analysis

Journal name: International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM)

Authors' information: Toine EP Remers^{1*}, Florien M. Kruse¹, Simone A. van Dulmen¹, Dorien L. Oostra², Martijn FM Maessen³, Patrick PT Jeurissen¹, Marcel GM Olde Rikkert^{2,4}

¹Radboud university medical center, Scientific center for quality of healthcare (IQ healthcare), Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

²Radboud university medical center, Department of Geriatric Medicine, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

³Coöperatie Volksgezondheidszorg, Business intelligence services, Arnhem, The Netherlands.

⁴Radboud university medical center, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Department of Geriatric Medicine, Radboud Alzheimer Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

*Correspondence to: Toine EP Remers; Email: toine.remers@radboudumc.nl

Citation: Remers TE, Kruse FM, van Dulmen SA, et al. Effects of DementiaNet's community care network approach on admission rates and healthcare costs: a longitudinal cohort analysis. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2023;12:7700. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2023.7700

Supplementary file 3. Sensitivity Analyses

Table S4: Differences in hospital care cost comparisons for patients included in community care networks participating in the DementiaNet programme and the control group receiving usual dementia care - standardised vs cost prices

Outcome	Change per year in hospital care costs (95% CI)	p-value
Hospital care – contracted prices ¹	- 19.71 % (-7.58 – -30.26%)	< 0.01
(n = 37,205)		
Hospital care – standardised prices ¹	- 19.74 % (-7.68 – -30.23%)	< 0.01
(n = 37,205)		
1: Log-transformed outcome variable because of skewed distribution		

Table S5: Admission risk comparison for all curative care admissions combined for patients included in community care networks participating in the DementiaNet programme and the control group receiving usual dementia care, adjusted for comorbidity, socioeconomic status, gender, and age.

Type of admission	Risk for intervention compared to control (95% CI)	P value
Curative care admission* (n = 37,816)	OR 0.84 (0.70 – 1.02)	0.078
*: Mixed effects logistic regression model with binary	y distribution (yes/no) and correction for treatme	ent year